UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/583,771 | 06/21/2006 | Claude Escarguel | 06074 | 4480 |
| 23338 7590 09/01/2009 DENNISON, SCHULTZ & MACDONALD 1727 KING STREET SUITE 105 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | HINES, JANA A | |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | 1645 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 09/01/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|------------|-------------------|--|
| | 10/583,771 | ESCARGUEL, CLAUDE | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | JaNa Hines | 1645 | |

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

The proposed after final amendments raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. The new issues are drawn to the detection, quantification and testing by detecting whether immunoglobulins of the patient species react with a fourth control antigen containing protein A of a Staphylococcus aureus bacterium. Previously, the claims did not require quantitification, nor did the claims recite a fourth control antigen or the requirement of Protein A from S. aureus. Furthermore the added claim 35 without caneling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. Therefore the after final amendments do not place the application in better form for appeal since it materially increases and complicates the issues for appeal.

The rejection of claims 1-12 and 14-34 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention is maintained for reasons already of record.

The rejection of claims 1-12 and 14-34 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wong et al., (US Patent 5,478,753 published December 26, 1995) is maintained for reasons already of record.